

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Static and dynamic density effects due to the finite length of polymer chains: a moleculardynamics investigation

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 2004 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 16 6609 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/16/36/026) View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 129.252.86.83 The article was downloaded on 27/05/2010 at 17:27

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 16 (2004) 6609-6618

PII: S0953-8984(04)79831-8

# Static and dynamic density effects due to the finite length of polymer chains: a molecular-dynamics investigation

## A Barbieri, D Prevosto, M Lucchesi and D Leporini<sup>1</sup>

Dipartimento di Fisica 'Enrico Fermi', Università di Pisa, via F Buonarroti 2, I-56127 Pisa, Italy and Instituto Nazionale di Fisica della Materia, Unità di Ricerca di Pisa, via F Buonarroti 2,

Instituto Nazionale di Fisica della Materia, Unita di Ricerca di Pisa, via F Buonarroti 2, I-56127 Pisa, Italy

E-mail: dino.leporini@df.unipi.it

Received 23 April 2004, in final form 22 July 2004 Published 27 August 2004 Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysCM/16/6609 doi:10.1088/0953-8984/16/36/026

## Abstract

The finite length of polymer chains affects both the static and the relaxation properties of the density of the melt state. These have been investigated by molecular-dynamics simulations of a Lennard-Jones model with fixed bond length. Under isothermal–isobaric conditions the density increases with the molecular weight. A suitable Voronoi tessellation reveals the extra free volume around the chain ends and shows that it is strongly localized within the first end monomer. Simple arguments are given for interpreting the main changes of the monomer radial distribution function and the corresponding static structure factor when the chain length is increased. As to the relaxation aspects of the density, it is found that the structural relaxation time increases with the molecular weight, which is interpreted as a signature of the well-known corresponding increase of the glass transition temperature.

# 1. Introduction

The region surrounding the chain ends (CE) of polymer chains with finite length is characterized by imperfect ordering and packing [1]. Therefore, each CE possesses more free volume than if it were chemically bound within a continuous chain. As expected, this produces a plasticizing effect which enhances the mobility. The present paper aims at characterizing the changes of both the static and the fluctuation properties of the density when the relative fraction of CEs is changed, i.e. when the molecular weight M is changed.

<sup>1</sup> Author to whom any correspondence should be addressed.

0953-8984/04/366609+10\$30.00 © 2004 IOP Publishing Ltd Printed in the UK

6609

Fox and Flory pioneered the study of the disorder introduced by the end groups of a linear chain (polystyrene) and evidenced by means of dilatometric measurements that the number density  $\rho$  depends on the number of monomers per chain *M* as [2]

$$\rho(M) = \left(\frac{1}{\rho_{\infty}} + \frac{2V_{\rm e}}{M}\right)^{-1} \tag{1}$$

where  $\rho_{\infty}$  is the number density in the limit of infinitely long chains and  $V_e$  is interpreted as the extra free volume per CE. Fox and Flory also recognized that disrupting the local configurational order imparts an extra mobility affecting the relaxation, and anticipated the well-known phenomenological relation between the glass transition temperature  $T_g$  and the chain length

$$T_{\rm g}(M) = T_{\rm g\infty} - \frac{C}{M} \tag{2}$$

where C is a positive constant and  $T_{g\infty}$  is the glass transition temperature in the limit of infinite length. The above equation was rationalized by assuming that the fractional free volume f(M) is nearly constant at the glass transition temperature  $T_g$ ,  $f(M) \simeq 1/40$ , so that the free volumes contributed by CEs have to be compensated by an additional thermal contraction [3-6]. Although it has been pointed out that the glass is not a true iso-freevolume state [7], and improved expressions for the chain length dependence of  $T_{\rm g}$  have been derived from thermodynamic considerations [7, 8], the role played by the CEs in the polymer dynamics has been extensively studied over the years by several experiments [9-25], theoretical approaches [26-28] and numerical simulations [29-38]. Kremer and Grest first noticed by using a bead-spring model and studying the chain length dependence of the statics and the dynamics that the terminal monomers exhibit faster dynamics than the central ones [29, 30]. More recent Monte Carlo studies also reached the same conclusions [31, 32]. Several issues have been addressed, including the effect of pressure on the relation between the glass transition temperature and the molecular weight of equation (2) [10], antiplasticization [13], the diffusion of small molecule penetrants in polymers [14], the very interesting case of the dendrimers and hyperbranched polymers having an ever-increasing number of chain ends [9, 16, 20, 28], the interfacial dynamics of blends [11, 12, 21, 33] and thin polymer films [15, 22, 27], the diffusion in homopolymers and blends [17, 18, 35, 36], the energy of interaction between the free volume and the polymer units [34], the rheology of food and biopolymers [23].

We present new results drawn from extensive classical molecular-dynamics (MD) simulations carried out on a melt of unentangled linear chains described by means of a Lennard-Jones model with fixed bond length, which captures the essential features of the chain end influence. The model has already been extensively investigated over a wide temperature and pressure range to evidence scaling properties and the mutual role of the thermal and the density effects [47].

We profited from previous studies on the chain length dependence of the polymer dynamics [29–32] and structure [39–46].

As a novel feature we address explicitly the influence of the CE free volume on both the statics and the structural relaxation to investigate the microscopic origin of equation (2).

Remarkably, the efficient packing of the spherical beads limits the extra free volume due to the CEs and gives insight into the sensitivity of the dynamics to this disorder effect. However, in more realistic models the packing of different polymer sites may be less efficient due to the poor interlocking of possible screening groups [41].

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 the model and the numerical methods are detailed. In section 3 the results are presented and discussed. The conclusions are summarized in section 4.



Figure 1. The dependence of the density on the molecular weight *M*. The superimposed curve is the fit with equation (1) with  $\rho_{\infty} = 0.961 \pm 0.002$  and  $V_e = 0.0905 \pm 0.0002$ .

# 2. Numerical methods

We investigated systems of N linear chains with fixed bond length and M monomers (beads) each. The (M, N) pairs under investigation were (3, 667), (5, 200), (7, 200), (10, 200), (15, 220), (22, 300) and (30, 300). The sample is confined in a cubic box with periodic boundary conditions. To handle the boundary conditions, the minimum image convention is adopted. The interaction between nonbonded monomers occurs via the Lennard-Jones (LJ) potential

$$U(r) = 4\epsilon \left[ (\sigma/r)^{12} - (\sigma/r)^{6} \right] + U_{\text{cut}}.$$
(3)

The potential is cut off at  $r_{\text{cut}} = 2.5\sigma$  and appropriately shifted by  $U_{\text{cut}}$  so as to make it vanish at that point and be continuous everywhere.

Neighbouring monomers in the same chain are constrained to a distance  $b = 0.97\sigma$  by using the *RATTLE* algorithm [48]. From now on, LJ units are adopted with the Boltzmann constant  $k_{\rm B} = 1$ . The samples are equilibrated under Nosé–Andersen [48] dynamics at the prescribed temperature and pressure until the average displacement of the chains' centres of mass is as large as twice the mean end-to-end distance. Data are collected during production runs in microcanonical conditions, by using a Verlet algorithm in velocity form. The time step is  $\Delta t = 2.5 \times 10^{-3}$ . No adjustment of the temperature, e.g. by rescaling the velocities, was needed during the production run.

The system is studied at pressure P = 2.0 and temperature T = 1.2. The results have been averaged over ten independent runs at least.

# 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Static effects

In figure 1 the dependence of the density on the chain length M is shown. It is fitted quite well by equation (1).  $V_e$  in equation (1) has to be interpreted as the extra free volume around one CE with respect to that present around any other segment of the chain; the best fit value is  $V_e = 0.0905 \pm 0.0002$ , i.e. about 17% of the bead volume.



**Figure 2.** The average volume of the Voronoi polyhedron around the bead located at the *n* position along the chain (symmetric positions with respect to the centre of the chain are averaged over:  $n \leq M/2$ ). Note the larger volume of the polyhedra surrounding the CEs (n = 1). The average excess volume is  $\Delta V = 0.0435 \pm 0.0015$ . Inset: the chain length dependence of the Voronoi volume of the inner beads  $V_{\text{inner}}$ . The superimposed curve is equation (4) with  $\Delta V(M) = \Delta V^*$ . The best fit value is  $\Delta V^* = 0.0436 \pm 0.0001$ .

To characterize the structural disorder of the system we resorted to the Voronoi tessellation [49, 50]. Voronoi tessellation partitions the space into convex polyhedra. For a molecular system the Voronoi polyhedron is the region of space around an atom (in our case one bead), such that each point in this region is closer to the atom than to any other atom of the system. Figure 2 shows how the volume of the Voronoi polyhedra depends on the position of the bead along the chain. It is clearly seen that an excess volume is located at the CEs, whereas the polyhedra around all the other beads have almost identical volumes. Excess volume around the CEs was also reported from atomistic simulations of polymers [35, 49, 50]. The present polymer model indicates that, even if the packing of the beads is as expected better than that of more constrained atomistic structures (see e.g. [35, 49]), additional space is still found around the chain ends. We also see that flexible chains assign the extra free volume to the end monomers. An increased chain stiffness, on the other hand, distributes it also across adjacent monomers [35].

Since the average volume of the Voronoi polyhedra is by definition equal to the volume per particle, we may write

$$V_{\text{inner}}(M) = \frac{1}{\rho(M)} - \frac{2\Delta V(M)}{M}$$
(4)

where  $V_{\text{inner}}(M)$  is the average volume of the polyhedra located around the inner monomers and  $\Delta V(M)$  is the excess volume of the polyhedra located around CEs. By inspecting figure 2,  $\Delta V(M)$  is found to be slightly dependent on M with average value  $\Delta V = 0.0435 \pm 0.0015$ . If the M dependence of  $\Delta V$  is neglected and equation (1) is replaced in equation (4), the latter yields a linear dependence of  $V_{\text{inner}}$  on  $M^{-1}$ . Indeed, the plot of  $V_{\text{inner}}$  versus  $M^{-1}$  is remarkably linear (see the inset in figure 2) and the best fit with equation (4), setting  $\Delta V(M) = \Delta V^*$ and assuming (1), yields  $\Delta V^* = 0.0436 \pm 0.0001$ . Note that  $\Delta V^* \neq V_e$ , i.e. the excess free volume is different from the excess Voronoi volume. The difference is due to the fact that the available free volume around one tagged bead is also shared with the surrounding ones, and the boundary of the Voronoi polyhedron centred at the tagged bead cuts that free volume into two regions, one inside the polyhedron and the other outside. Since the bead volume is nearly



**Figure 3.** The radial distribution function  $g_{mon}(r)$  of the monomers (top) and static structure factor  $S_{mon}(q)$  (bottom) for all the chain lengths investigated. The insets show a detailed view of the maxima of  $g_{mon}(r)$  (top) and  $S_{mon}(q)$  (bottom). Symbols refer to M = 3 (circles), 5 (squares), 15 (diamonds), 30 (triangles). On increasing the chain length the maximum of  $g_{mon}(r)$  decreases whereas the maximum of  $S_{mon}(q)$  increases and shifts to higher q values. See the text for details.

constant, a small variation  $\delta V_{\text{free}}$  in the free volume leads to a variation  $\delta V_{\text{voronoi}}$  of the Voronoi volume which should be slightly less than one half as small:  $\delta V_{\text{voronoi}} \sim (1 - \epsilon)/2\delta V_{\text{free}}$ . The factor  $\epsilon > 0$  depends on the detailed geometry and on the ratio between the Voronoi volume and the bead volume; we find  $\epsilon \simeq 0.04$ . Purely geometrical procedures in terms of Delauney tessellation to determine  $V_{\text{e}}$  are possible if the excess free volume  $V_{\text{e}}$  substantially exceeds the free volume of the other monomers [35]. However, figure 2 suggests that this does not occur in the present study due to the good packing, and in this case possible corrections must also account for the softness of each bead due to the LJ interactions.

Figure 3 shows the radial distribution function  $g_{mon}(r)$  of the monomers without the delta function contributions due to the bonded nearest neighbours of each bead. It is seen that the maximum of  $g_{mon}(r)$  decreases with the chain length. No changes are observed beyond the first shell of nearest neighbours. This is an interesting feature in that the density increases with the chain length (see figure 1) and one expects more short range order. A simple argument shows that the decrease of the maximum follows from the different connectivities of the inner and



**Figure 4.** The chain length dependence of the number of nearest-neighbour monomers  $N_{\text{mon}}(M)$  (equation (5)) from MD simulations (filled circles). The number of nearest-neighbour atoms  $N_{\text{LJ}}(M)$  for the Lennard-Jones monatomic liquid with the same density as the polymer melt with chain length *M* is also shown (empty squares) together with the approximation  $\tilde{N}(M)$  (equation (6)) (empty circles). The slopes of  $N_{\text{mon}}(M)$  and  $\tilde{N}(M)$  are about 2.4 and 2.0, respectively. See the text for details.

end beads. Let us neglect the connectivity of the polymer chain with length M and consider the radial distribution function  $g_{LJ}(r)$  of the Lennard-Jones monatomic liquid with the same average density.  $g_{LJ}(r)$  is conveniently calculated by using the Weeks–Chandler–Anderson (WCA) theory [51–53]. The average number of nearest neighbours for the melt of chains with length M is given by

$$N_{\rm mon}(M) = 4\pi\rho \int_0^{r_{\rm min}} {\rm d}r \, r^2 g_{\rm mon}(r).$$
<sup>(5)</sup>

 $r_{\min}$  is the location of the first minimum of  $g_{\min}(r)$ . Let  $N_{LJ}(M)$  be the same quantity for the monatomic Lennard-Jones liquid having the density of the melt of chains with length M; i.e. the analogue of equation (5) is written with  $g_{\min}(r)$  being replaced by  $g_{LJ}(r)$  which is integrated up to its first minimum. Figure 4 shows that  $N_{\min}(M)$  is virtually linear with 1/M and has a slope 2.42 whereas  $N_{LJ}(M)$ , due to the small change of the density of the polymer melts with different chain lengths, is almost constant,  $N_{LJ}(M) \simeq 12$ .  $N_{\min}(M)$  has two different terms. One, ascribed to the inner beads, has weight (M - 2)/M and may be approximated by  $N_{LJ}(M) - 2$  due to the missed contribution to  $g_{\min}(r)$  of the two bonded nearest neighbours. A second term with weight 2/M comes from the end beads and is approximated by  $N_{LJ}(M) - 1$ . Overall,  $N_{\min}(M)$  may be approximated by

$$\tilde{N}(M) = N_{\rm LJ}(M) + \frac{2}{M} - 2.$$
 (6)

N(M) is compared to  $N_{\text{mon}}(M)$  in figure 4. The deviations do not exceed 15%. The slope of  $\tilde{N}(M)$  versus 1/M is about 2, to be compared with the slope 2.42 of  $N_{\text{mon}}(M)$ .

Figure 3 also shows, for all the chain lengths investigated, the monomer static structure factor  $S_{mon}(q)$  defined by the expression [53]

$$S_{\rm mon}(q) = 1 + 4\pi\rho \int_0^\infty [g_{\rm mon}(r) - 1] \frac{\sin(qr)}{qr} r^2 \,\mathrm{d}r.$$
(7)

It is seen that when the chain length increases the main peak of  $S_{mon}(q)$ ,  $S_{max}$ , located at  $q_{max}$  increases as well and shifts to higher q values. The changes become small at the highest lengths



**Figure 5.** A plot of the self-part of the intermediate scattering function  $F_s$  at  $q = q_{\text{max}}$  (shaded symbols) and  $q = q_{\text{min}}$  (open symbols). Inset: a plot of  $-\ln[F_s]$  at the same values of q.

investigated. An approximate relation has been derived relating  $S_{\text{max}}$ ,  $q_{\text{max}}$ , and the width of the main peak  $\Delta q$ , defined as the separation between the two adjacent nodes of  $[S_{\text{mon}}(q) - 1]$  embracing  $q_{\text{max}}$  [53]:

$$S_{\max} \simeq \frac{3q_{\max}}{4\Delta q} \left( 1 - \frac{6\pi^2 \rho}{q_{\max}^3} \right). \tag{8}$$

By considering M = 3 and 30 one finds  $S_{\text{max}} = 2.21$  and 2.24 respectively, to be compared with 2.2 and 2.26 from the simulation.

### 3.2. Relaxation effects

To characterize the relaxation dynamics of the density we evaluated the self-part of the intermediate scattering function:

$$F_{s}(q,t) = \langle \exp[iq \cdot (\mathbf{R}(t) - \mathbf{R}(0))] \rangle$$
(9)

where  $\mathbf{R}(t)$  is the position of the monomer at time t and the brackets denote a suitable average over all the monomers. In figure 5,  $F_s(q, t)$  is plotted at  $q_{\text{max}}$  and  $q_{\min}$ , which are respectively the positions of the maximum and of the first minimum of  $S_{\text{mon}}(q)$ . At late times the scattering functions  $F_s(q, t)$  exhibit a stretched-exponential decay with stretching parameters  $0.7 \leq \beta \leq 0.75$ , weakly decreasing with the molecular weight. To characterize the decay of  $F_s(q, t)$ , we define the structural relaxation times  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{\min}$  as the area below  $F_s(q_{\max}, t)$  and  $F_s(q_{\min}, t)$ , respectively. The related integrals were evaluated numerically over the simulated time window. Both the quality of the data and the limited stretching made the above definition reliable.

The plots of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{\min}$  versus the chain length are shown in figure 6. They both increase with the chain length. As  $q_{\min} > q_{\max}$ ,  $\tau_{\min}$  is more affected by very local relaxation processes than  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . Then, it is not surprising that the former exhibits a weaker length dependence, as shown in figure 6.

The increase of the density-fluctuation timescales is consistent with the well-known increase of the glass transition temperature on increasing the polymer molecular weight; see equation (2) or the more complex expression provided by the entropy approach [7, 8]. Let us



**Figure 6.** The molecular weight dependence of the structural relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  (circles) and  $\tau_{\min}$  (squares). The superimposed curves are fits with equation (11); the fit parameters are  $D_{\alpha} = 0.70$ ,  $D_{\min} = 0.30$ ,  $E_{\alpha} = 1.08$ ,  $E_{\min} = 0.87$ .

consider the usual Vogel-Fulcher law describing the temperature dependence of the relaxation times, i.e.

$$\tau(T) = A \exp\left(\frac{B}{T + C - T_g}\right)$$
(10)

where A, B, C are constants. For simplicity, one neglects any molecular weight dependence other than that of  $T_g$  as given by equation (2). Far above the glass transition one finds

$$\tau(M) \simeq D \exp\left(-\frac{E}{M}\right)$$
(11)

where D, E depend only on the temperature.

Figure 6 shows that equation (11) accounts for the observed trends of both the structural relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{\min}$  with the length of the chain. However, we are aware that deviations from equation (2) (on which equation (11) is based) are expected for short chains. Moreover, alternatives to equation (2) with more complicated *M* dependence were found by the entropy approach [7, 8]. Overall, higher quality data spanning a wider range of chain lengths are needed to assess the weight dependence of the structural relaxation time.

## 4. Conclusions

We investigated the effects of the finite length of polymeric chains in the melt state by MD simulation of a Lennard-Jones model with fixed bond length. Both static and relaxation aspects were studied. It is found that under isothermal–isobaric conditions the density increases with the chain length according to equation (1). The Voronoi tessellation revealed the extra free volume which is available around the chain ends. This extra volume is highly localized within the first end monomer. Simple arguments are given for interpreting the main changes of the monomer radial distribution function and the corresponding static structure factor when the chain length is increased. As to the relaxation aspects of the density, it is found that the structural relaxation time increases with the molecular weight, which is interpreted as a signature of the well-known corresponding increase of the glass transition temperature.

# Acknowledgments

Financial support from MIUR within the PRIN project 'Dynamics and thermodynamics in out-of-equilibrium materials: structural glasses, gels, polymeric materials' is gratefully acknowledged.

#### References

- [1] Flory P J 1953 Principles of Polymer Chemistry (Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press)
- [2] Fox T G and Flory P J 1950 J. Appl. Phys. 21 581
- [3] Bueche F 1962 Physical Properties of Polymers (New York: Interscience) chapters 3 and 4
- [4] Bueche F and Kelley F N 1960 J. Polym. Sci. 45 267
- [5] Sperling L H 1992 Introduction to Physical Polymer Science 2nd edn (New York: Wiley)
- [6] Ferry J D 1980 Viscoelastic Properties of Polymers 3rd edn (New York: Wiley)
- [7] Gibbs J H and Di Marzio E A 1958 J. Chem. Phys. 28 373
- [8] Gedde U W 1995 Polymer Physics (London: Chapman and Hall)
- [9] Wooley K L, Hawker C J, Pochan J M and Frechet J M J 1993 Macromolecules 26 1514
- [10] Toratani H and Takamizawa K 1994 Polym. J. 26 797
- [11] Cameron G G, Stewart D, Buscall R and Nemcek J 1994 Polymer 35 3384
- [12] Cameron G G, Qureshi M Y, Stewart D, Buscall R and Nemcek J 1995 Polymer 36 3071
- [13] Anderson S L, Grulke E A, Delassus P T, Smith P B, Kocher C W and Landes B G 1995 Macromolecules 28 2944
- [14] Hedenqvist M, Angelstok A, Edsberg L, Larsson P T and Gedde U W 1996 Polymer 37 2887
- [15] Tanaka K, Takahara A and Kajiyama T 1997 Macromolecules 30 6626
- [16] Farrington P J, Hawker C J, Frechet J M J and Mackay M E 1998 Macromolecules 31 5043
- [17] Von Meerwall E, Beckman S, Jang J and Mattice W L 1998 J. Chem. Phys. 108 4299
- [18] Von Meerwall E, Feick E J, Ozisik R and Mattice W L 1999 J. Chem. Phys. 111 750
- [19] Von Meerwall E, Ozisik R, Mattice W L and Pfister P M 2003 J. Chem. Phys. 118 3867
- [20] Morgan D R, Stejskal E O and Andrady A L 1999 Macromolecules **32** 1897
- [21] Feldstein M M 2001 Polymer 42 7719
- [22] Jiang X Q, Yang C Z, Tanaka K, Takahara A and Kajiyama T 2001 Phys. Lett. A 281 363
- [23] Kasapis S 2001 Food Hydrocolloids 15 631
- [24] Capaccioli S, Casalini R, Lucchesi M, Lovicu G, Prevosto D, Pisignano D, Romano G and Rolla P A 2002 J. Non-Cryst. Solids 307–310 238
- [25] Prevosto D, Capaccioli S, Lucchesi M and Rolla P A 2004 Macromol. Symp. at press
- [26] Matsuoka S and Hale A 1997 J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 64 77
- [27] DeGennes P G 2000 Eur. Phys. J. E 2 201
- [28] Liu H W, Wilen C E and Shi W F 2002 Macromol. Theor. Simul. 11 459
- [29] Grest G S and Kremer K 1986 Phys. Rev. A 33 3628
- [30] Grest G S and Kremer K 1990 J. Chem. Phys. 92 5087
- [31] Binder K and Paul W 1997 J. Polym. Sci. B 35 1
- [32] Paul W 2002 Chem. Phys. 284 59
- [33] Muller M, Binder K and Oed W 1995 J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 91 2369
- [34] Rane S and Gujrati P D 2001 Phys. Rev. E 64 011801
- [35] Harmandaris V A, Doxastakis M, Mavrantzas V G and Theodorou D N 2002 J. Chem. Phys. 116 436
- [36] Harmandaris V A, Angelopoulou D, Mavrantzas V G and Theodorou D N 2002 J. Chem. Phys. 116 7656
- [37] Harmandaris V A, Mavrantzas V G and Theodorou D N 1998 Macromolecules 31 7934
- [38] Harmandaris V A, Mavrantzas V G, Theodorou D N, Kröger M, Ramirez J, Ottinger H C and Vlassopoulos D 2003 Macromolecules 36 1376
- [39] Schweitzer K S and Curro J G 1997 Adv. Chem. Phys. 98 1
- [40] Curro J G, Schweitzer K S, Grest G S and Kremer K 1989 J. Chem. Phys. 91 1357
- [41] Weinhold J D, Curro J G, Habenschuss A and Londono J D 1999 Macromolecules 32 7276
- [42] Pütz M, Curro J G and Grest G S 2001 J. Chem. Phys. 114 2847
- [43] Sides S W, Curro J G, Grest G S, Stevens M J, Soddemann T, Habenschuss A and Londono J D 2002 Macromolecules 35 6455
- [44] Yethiraj A, Hall C K and Honnell K G 1990 J. Chem. Phys. 93 4453
- [45] Yethiraj A and Hall C K 1992 J. Chem. Phys. 96 797

- [46] Aichele A, Chong S H, Baschnagel J and Fuchs M 2004 Phys. Rev. E 69 061801
- [47] Barbieri A, Capaccioli S, Campani E and Leporini D 2004 J. Chem. Phys. 120 437
- [48] Allen M P and Tildesley D J 1987 Computer Simulation of Liquids (Oxford: Clarendon)
- [49] Rigby D and Roe R J 1990 Macromolecules 23 5312
- [50] Tokita N, Hirabayashi M, Azuma C and Dotera T 2004 J. Chem. Phys. 120 496
- [51] Andersen H C, Chandler D and Weeks J D 1976 Adv. Chem. Phys. 34 105
  [52] Verlet L and Weis J-J 1972 Phys. Rev. A 5 939
- [53] March N H and Tosi M P 2002 Introduction to Liquid State Physics (Singapore: World Scientific)